

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/705,597	11/10/2003	Anand Anandakumar	JA03-001	6241	
28112 7	590 06/23/2006		EXAM	INER	
GEORGE O. SAILE & ASSOCIATES			CHAUDRY, M	CHAUDRY, MUJTABA M	
28 DAVIS AV POUGHKEEP	ENUE SIE, NY 12603		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2133		
			DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/705,597	ANANDAKUMAR, ANAND		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Mujtaba K. Chaudry	2133		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 No	ovember 2003.			
,—	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3)					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.		
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
5) 6) 7)	Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) 1-39 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority L	under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents  2. Certified copies of the priority documents  3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachmen		_			
2) Notic 3) Infor	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) N Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Application/Control Number: 10/705,597

Art Unit: 2133

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

An attempt for provisional restriction requirement was made on Monday, June 19, 2006 with Applicant's Attorney, George O. Saile. A connection was not made. Applicants are advised to elect the claims in one of the following groups below and cancel remaining non-elected claims in subsequent communication.

Restrictions to one of the following inventions is required under 35 USC 121:

- I. Claims 1-5 drawn to a decoding system in a communication system comprising a first and second decoder using soft-input information, classified in class 714, subclass 780.
- II. Claims 6-16 drawn to an apparatus for iteratively decoding data in a communication system with computation of forward and backward metrics, classified in class 714, subclass 796.
- III. Claims 17-24, drawn to a soft-input soft-output (SISO) decoding method having first and second multiplexing means, classified in class 714, subclass 791.
- IV. Claims 25-39, drawn to a method for decoding data using trellis diagram in communication system, classified in class 714, subclass 792.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I, a decoding system in a communication system comprising a first and second decoder using soft-input information and Group II, an apparatus for iteratively decoding data in a communication system with computation of forward and backward metrics are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be

Art Unit: 2133

shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims of Group I do not require the limitations of iteratively decoding data with computation of forward and backward metrics. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a single networked environment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group II is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions Group I, a decoding system in a communication system comprising a first and second decoder using soft-input information and Group III, a soft-input soft-output (SISO) decoding method having first and second multiplexing means are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims of Group I do not require the limitations of a soft-input soft-output (SISO) decoding method having first and second multiplexing means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a single networked environment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions Group I, a decoding system in a communication system comprising a first and second decoder using soft-input information and Group IV, a method for decoding data using trellis diagram are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims of Group I do not require the limitations of a method for decoding data using trellis diagram. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a single networked environment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group I, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions Group II, an apparatus for iteratively decoding data in a communication system with computation of forward and backward metrics Group III, a soft-input soft-output (SISO) decoding method having first and second multiplexing means are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the

Application/Control Number: 10/705,597

Art Unit: 2133

particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims of Group II do not require the limitations of a soft-input soft-output (SISO) decoding method having first and second multiplexing means. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a single networked environment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group III is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions Group II, an apparatus for iteratively decoding data in a communication system with computation of forward and backward metrics Group IV, a method for decoding data using trellis diagram are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims of Group II do not require the limitations of a method for decoding data using trellis diagram. The subcombination has separate utility such as in a single networked environment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group IV is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Inventions Group III, a soft-input soft-output (SISO) decoding method having first and second multiplexing means Group IV, a method for decoding data using trellis diagram are

Application/Control Number: 10/705,597

Art Unit: 2133

related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can

be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the

subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself

or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed

does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the claims of Group III

do not require the limitations of a method for decoding data using trellis diagram. The

subcombination has separate utility such as in a single networked environment.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required

for Group IV is not required for Group III, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is

proper.

Conclusion

Any inquiries concerning this communication should be directed to the examiner,

Mujtaba Chaudry who may be reached at 571-272-3817. The examiner may normally be reached

Mon – Thur 6:30 am to 4:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, please contact the

examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady at 571-272-3819.

Mujtaba Chaudry Art Unit 2133 June 19, 2006

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMIN TECHNOLOGY CENTER 210 Page 6